# Healthy food availability and the association with BMI in Baltimore, Maryland

Sarah Stark Casagrande<sup>1,\*</sup><sup>†</sup>, Manuel Franco<sup>1,2</sup>, Joel Gittelsohn<sup>1</sup>, Alan B Zonderman<sup>3</sup>, Michele K Evans<sup>3</sup>, Marie Fanelli Kuczmarski<sup>4</sup> and Tiffany L Gary-Webb<sup>1,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA: <sup>2</sup>Centro Nacional Investigación Cardiovascular, Calle de Melchor Fernandex Almagro, Madrid, Spain: <sup>3</sup>National Institute on Aging, Baltimore, MD, USA: <sup>4</sup>College of Health Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, USA

#### Submitted 9 September 2010: Accepted 16 December 2010

#### Abstract

*Objective:* To study the association between the availability of healthy foods and BMI by neighbourhood race and socio-economic status (SES).

*Design:* Trained staff collected demographic information, height, weight and 24 h dietary recalls between 2004 and 2008. Healthy food availability was determined in thirty-four census tracts of varying racial and SES composition using the Nutrition Environment Measures Survey–Stores in 2007. Multilevel linear regression was used to estimate associations between healthy food availability and BMI. *Setting:* Baltimore City, Maryland, USA.

*Subjects:* Adults aged 30–64 years (n 2616) who participated in the Healthy Aging in Neighborhoods of Diversity across the Life Span study.

*Results:* Among individuals living in predominantly white neighbourhoods, high availability of healthy foods was associated with significantly higher BMI compared with individuals living in neighbourhoods with low availability of healthy food after adjustment for demographic variables ( $\beta = 3.22$ , P = 0.001). Associations were attenuated but remained significant after controlling for dietary quality ( $\beta = 2.81$ , P = 0.012).

*Conclusions:* Contrary to expectations, there was a positive association between the availability of healthy food and higher BMI among individuals living in predominantly white neighbourhoods. This result could be due to individuals in neighbourhoods with low healthy food availability travelling outside their neighbourhood to obtain healthy food.

The prevalence of obesity in US adults has increased significantly over the past several decades<sup>(1)</sup> and this condition is known to increase the risk for many chronic conditions including  $CVD^{(1-4)}$ . Given the high prevalence of obesity, recent research has focused on the local food environment, including the types of food stores and the quality and availability of foods in a neighbourhood, and their influence on health outcomes and behaviours. There is evidence that dietary patterns differ across neighbourhoods and that these differences are not fully explained by individual-level socio-economic characteristics<sup>(5-7)</sup>. Data have shown that supermarkets are more likely located in wealthier neighbourhoods than in poorer neighbourhoods<sup>(8-12)</sup>. Furthermore, the presence of supermarkets and fewer fast-food restaurants has been associated with less obesity and better dietary intake<sup>(13–17)</sup>.

Despite demonstrated racial and socio-economic disparities, few studies have assessed the association between the availability of healthy food in neighbourhoods and dietary intake or BMI by neighbourhood characteristics<sup>(18–20)</sup>. Therefore, the present study investigated the association between the availability of healthy foods and BMI. It was hypothesized that lower healthy food availability would be associated with higher BMI. Moreover, since healthy food availability has been shown to be associated with neighbourhood characteristics, a secondary hypothesis was that the association between neighbourhood healthy food availability and BMI would differ by neighbourhood race and socio-economic status (SES).

#### Methods

# Overview of the Healthy Aging in Neighborhoods of Diversity across the Life Span study

The Healthy Aging in Neighborhoods of Diversity across the Life Span (HANDLS) study is a multidisciplinary, prospective

Keywords Healthy food availability BMI Socio-economic status

<sup>†</sup> Present affiliation and address for correspondence: Social and Scientific Systems, 8757 Georgia Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20910, USA.

<sup>‡</sup> Present affiliation: Columbia Mailman School of Public Health, 722 168th Street, New York, NY 10032, USA.

epidemiological study set in Baltimore City and examines the influence and interaction of race and SES on the development of health disparities among minority and lower-SES groups<sup>(21)</sup>. The study design was stratified across four factors: age, sex, race and SES. Baseline recruitment included 2616 black and white adults aged 30-64 years of middle and low SES, living in thirty-four census tracts across Baltimore City. Data collection was implemented in two stages by trained staff and physicians: (i) an in-home household survey; and (ii) a physical examination and medical history conducted in a mobile research vehicle (MRV). Baseline data collection occurred from 2004 to 2008. Inclusion criteria for participants included age 30-64 years and the ability to give informed consent, perform at least five measures and present valid picture identification. Exclusion criteria included pregnancy, being within 6 months of active cancer treatment, and multi-ethnic individuals who did not identify strongly with either the black or white race. Survey and medical information is confidential and approved by the National Institutes of Health Institutional Review Board.

The neighbourhoods included in the HANDLS study varied in terms of housing characteristics, green space and residential *v* commercial space. Most of the middle-SES neighbourhoods were further removed from large commercial areas and busy roads; they often included single-family homes, duplexes and row homes that were well maintained. The lower-SES neighbourhoods tended to be bisected by commercial thoroughfares and more often had abandoned homes and less maintained residences; some neighbourhoods included government-assisted housing. The majority of food stores were convenience or small grocery stores that rarely sold fresh produce, whole wheat bread or skimmed milk; whole milk, salty snacks, soda and canned foods were typically available<sup>(22)</sup>.

#### Individual-level bousebold interview measures

Demographic measures from the HANDLS in-home questionnaire included self-reported age, sex, race, education, income and general health status<sup>(23)</sup>. Individual-level SES was determined during the initial doorstep interview. Low SES was defined as having a family income below 125% of the poverty delimiter, which varies by household size. The initial doorstep responder was asked about their household size and then whether their household income was below or above a specific number from the federal poverty level table. Middle SES was defined as having a family income equal to or greater than 125% of the poverty delimiter. Participants reported on neighbourhood crime and on the main mode of transportation used for travelling outside their neighbourhood (e.g. car, walking).

#### Individual-level bealth behaviours and outcomes

Dietary intake was reported as an average of two 24 h dietary recalls taken during the in-home and MRV visits. The data were collected by trained interviewers using the

US Department of Agriculture's automated multiple-pass method<sup>(24)</sup>. Participants were asked to report all types and amounts of foods and beverages consumed in the past 24 h. The 24 h dietary recalls included consumption on weekdays and weekends and over several seasons. Dietary quality was evaluated using the Healthy Eating Index-2005 (HEI) and selected HEI components; the HEI has been validated and reflects the 2005 Dietary Guide-lines for Americans<sup>(25,26)</sup>. Higher HEI scores indicate a diet of higher quality (total HEI range: 0–100). Medical staff measured height and weight using standard measurement tools to determine BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>).

#### Neighbourhood census measures

To characterize neighbourhoods beyond the collective of individuals that live in them, data from the US Census were used to determine neighbourhood race and SES. Neighbourhoods were classified as predominantly black or white if  $\geq 60\%$  of the residents were black or white, respectively<sup>(10)</sup>. Since only three tracts failed to meet these criteria and the racial composition included few (<2%) non-blacks or non-whites, these racially mixed tracts were classified by the racial majority. Census tracts with  $\geq 25\%$  of residents below the poverty threshold were categorized as low SES and <25% as middle SES. These cut-off points were determined based on median values for census tract percentage of poverty in the HANDLS study.

### Healthy food availability

# Implementation of the Nutrition Environment Measures Survey–Stores instrument in Baltimore, MD

Data collected in 2006 as part of a previous study using the Nutrition Environment Measures Survey–Stores (NEMS-S) instrument<sup>(20)</sup> were used to determine healthy food availability in HANDLS census tracts. A total of 226 Baltimore stores were assessed for the availability of eight food groups and a healthy food availability index (HFAI) was calculated for each store based on the items available (range: 0-27)<sup>(20)</sup>. Stores were categorized on the basis of Standard Industrial Classification codes<sup>(27)</sup> as supermarkets (a chain store or employes), convenience stores (food marts attached to gas stations or 7–Eleven-type stores) or behind-glass stores (food items displayed behind bullet-proof glass).

Results from the Baltimore Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) study indicated that a higher percentage of predominantly black and lower-income neighbourhoods were categorized in the lowest HFAI tertile. Furthermore, supermarkets in predominantly black and lower-income neighbourhoods had significantly lower HFAI scores; findings were similar for grocery stores<sup>(20)</sup>. Given the policy implications of these main results and the known inaccuracies of national business data<sup>(28)</sup>, all food stores in Baltimore City were characterized by type (e.g. supermarket) in 2007 since the Baltimore MESA study

#### Food availability and association with BMI

only assessed stores located in selected Baltimore City census tracts. To characterize food stores, data collectors compared Baltimore City information on food stores in the area obtained from (i) InfoUSA, (ii) Baltimore area phone books and (iii) Baltimore City Health Department food license records. Data collectors visited each store, verified the correct categorization and revised the list of stores by adding stores omitted from the records and removing stores that were closed upon visit.

#### Present study

HFAI scores were imputed for all stores in HANDLS neighbourhoods using values from the Baltimore MESA study; the imputation was based on the racial composition of the census tract and the store type for each food store located in a HANDLS census tract. Thus, a supermarket located in a predominantly black neighbourhood was assigned a lower score than a supermarket located in a predominantly white neighbourhood; supermarkets were assigned higher scores than grocery and convenience stores. Racial composition, rather than income, was chosen for imputation based on the stronger trend in HFAI scores in supermarkets and grocery stores.

#### Statistical analysis

Participant characteristics were stratified by tertiles of neighbourhood healthy food availability. Mean BMI for each healthy food availability tertile was calculated using one-way ANOVA.

Linear regression coefficients ( $\beta$ ) were estimated using multilevel (random-effects) linear models with a random intercept for each census tract. The main exposure variable was the average HFAI in a census tract. The main dependent variable was BMI. Dietary quality (total HEI), main mode of transportation and perceived crime were investigated as potential mediators in independent regression models; adjustment for all three potential mediators in the same model was also assessed.

All regression models were adjusted for potential confounders including age, sex, race, education, poverty status and self-reported health. Each analysis was stratified by neighbourhood race and SES. All regression analyses were conducted using the STATA statistical software package version 10·0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA) and the xtreg procedure. Participants with missing data for the primary outcome (BMI) were excluded from analyses and evaluated for exclusion bias (*n* 874). There were no differences between participants who were included and excluded from analysis by race, poverty status, age, gender or education.

#### Results

## Individual-level characteristics by neighbourhood bealthy food availability

The average age of participants was 48 years and 56% were female (Table 1). More individuals above the

poverty threshold resided in neighbourhoods with high healthy food availability (P = 0.010). Unexpectedly, a higher proportion of individuals without a high school diploma resided in neighbourhoods with higher healthy food availability (P < 0.001). Overall, the mean BMI of participants reflected unhealthy body weights (BMI =  $30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ). Participants' HEI scores were low compared with national estimates<sup>(29)</sup>. The mean HEI score was 49 (possible range: 0–100) for the total population. HEI scores for total dietary intake, total fruit consumption and total energy from saturated fat were higher (or better) for individuals living in neighbourhoods with low healthy food availability (P < 0.001), with no difference for total vegetable intake (P = 0.267).

# Mean BMI by neighbourhood healthy food availability

BMI was higher in neighbourhoods with high healthy food availability among individuals residing in predominantly white neighbourhoods (P < 0.001; Table 2). Conversely, mean BMI was lower in neighbourhoods with high healthy food availability among individuals residing in predominantly black (P = 0.017) and low-SES neighbourhoods (P = 0.001).

# *Neighbourhood healthy food availability and the association with BMI*

Overall, there was no association between food availability in neighbourhoods and BMI after adjustment for individual-level confounders (Table 3). Among individuals living in predominantly white neighbourhoods, residing in neighbourhoods with medium or high food availability was associated with significantly higher BMI compared with individuals residing in neighbourhoods with low food availability ( $\beta = 3.90$ , P < 0.001;  $\beta = 3.22$ , P = 0.001, respectively). After adjusting for dietary quality, associations were attenuated but remained significant ( $\beta = 3.49$ , P = 0.003;  $\beta = 2.81$ , P = 0.012, respectively; data not shown). Additional adjustment for perceived crime and main mode of transportation did not further attenuate or alter the significance of the findings.

#### Discussion

Earlier research indicates that the types of food stores and food availability in neighbourhoods are associated with neighbourhood characteristics<sup>(8–12)</sup>, dietary intake<sup>(19,30)</sup> and obesity<sup>(13–16)</sup>. Few studies have examined these associations stratified by neighbourhood race and SES.

Contrary to the study hypothesis, greater healthy food availability was associated with higher BMI among individuals living in predominantly white neighbourhoods after adjustment for demographic variables and dietary quality. One explanation for this unexpected finding is that individuals living in neighbourhoods with low Table 1 Characteristics of HANDLS study participants stratified by neighbourhood healthy food availability, Baltimore, MD, 2004-2008

				Healthy food availability						
	Overall ( <i>n</i> 2616)		Low (n	Low ( <i>n</i> 1410) Medium ( <i>n</i> 47			475) High ( <i>n</i> 731)			
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	P value	
Demographics										
Age (years)	48·1	9.2	48.6	9∙1	47.8	9∙2	47.4	9.3	0.013	
	п	%	п	%	п	%	n	%		
Female Race	1454	55.6	789	56.0	260	54.7	405	55.5	0.896	
White	1078	41.2	192	13.7	360	75·8	526	72·0	<0.001	
Black	1538	58.8	1218	86.3	115	24.2	205	28.0		
Above poverty threshold	1543	59.0	794	56.3	299	63·0	450	61.6	0.010	
Health insurance, yes	1727	67.8	962	68·2	314	68·4	451	66.6	0.730	
<high education<br="" school="">Self-reported health</high>	669	26.3	318	22.6	138	30.1	213	31.5	<0.001	
Poor-good	1746	66.8	916	65.0	335	70.5	495	67.7	0.071	
Very good-excellent	869	33.2	493	35.0	140	29.5	236	32.3		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
Health outcomes and behaviours* BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) HEI scoret	29.9	7.8	29.8	7.8	30.8	8∙1	29.7	7.7	0.038	
Total	48.9	12.1	49.8	12.1	46.6	11.7	48·2	11.9	<0.001	
Fruit	2.1	1.7	2.2	1.7	1.7	1.6	2.0	1.6	<0.001	
Vegetables	2.7	1.3	2.7	1.3	2.8	1.3	2.8	1.4	0.267	
Saturated fat	5.3	3.2	5.6	3.2	4.8	3.3	5∙1	3.2	<0.001	

HANDLS, Healthy Aging in Neighborhoods of Diversity across the Life Span; HEI, Healthy Eating Index-2005. \**n* 1872.

+Total HEI, range 0 to 100; Total fruit, range 0 (0 cups/1000 kcal) to 5 ( $\geq$ 0.8 cups/1000 kcal); Total vegetables, range 0 (0 cups/1000 kcal) to 5 ( $\geq$ 1.1 cups/1000 kcal); Saturated fat, range 0 ( $\geq$ 15% of energy) to 10 ( $\leq$ 7% of energy); 1000 kcal = 4184 kJ.

Tabla	2 DMIN	hu naiabhau	haad haalthu	food availability	and atratified by	naighbourbood	roop and CE	C Poltimoro		2004 2009*
rapie		ov neidriboui	noou neallnv		and stratilied by	neignbournoog	race and SE	S. Dailimore.	IVID.	2004-2008

		Neighbourhood healthy food availability								
	Low		Medium		High					
Neighbourhood characteristic	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	P valuet			
Total ( <i>n</i> 2616) Neighbourhood race	29.8	7.7	30.8	8∙1	29.7	7.7	0.038			
Predominantly white (n 968)	26.4	5.9	30.9	8·1	30.0	7.8	<0.001			
Predominantly black (n 1648) Neighbourhood SES	30.0	7.8	30.6	8∙1	28.1	7.3	0.017			
Middle ( <i>n</i> 1451) Low ( <i>n</i> 1165)	30·2 29·3	7∙4 8∙3	30·2 31·1	7·7 8·3	30·3 28·8	7∙8 7∙6	0·962 0·001			

SES, socio-economic status

\*Unadjusted mean values.

tOne-way ANOVA.

healthy food availability choose to travel outside their neighbourhood to obtain healthy food. Indeed, individuals residing in neighbourhoods with low healthy food availability reported more often using a car as the main mode of transportation (83%) and reported virtually no walking (1%) compared with individuals in this subgroup residing in neighbourhoods with medium and high healthy food availability (55%, 60% for car use and 7%, 8% for walking, respectively; P < 0.001). Furthermore, individuals in neighbourhoods with low healthy food availability had better dietary quality (mean HEI score = 50) compared with their counterparts residing in neighbourhoods with medium and high healthy food availability (mean HEI score = 47 and 48 respectively; P < 0.001). Thus, in this urban, predominantly white population, higher neighbourhood healthy food availability was not a marker for either healthier diets or body weight.

Few studies have empirically assessed healthy food availability and the association with health outcomes. A cross-sectional study in twelve suburban/urban communities measured the availability of low-fat and high-fibre products and found positive, significant correlations between neighbourhood availability of these products and self-reported healthfulness of individual diet<sup>(18)</sup>.

Table 3	Associations	between heal	thy food	availability	and BMI	(β, 95%	CI), Baltimore,	MD, 2004–2008*	

	Neighbourhood race					ace	Neighbourhood SES			
	Overall		White ( <i>n</i> 10)		Bla	ick ( <i>n</i> 24)	Middle (n 16)		Low ( <i>n</i> 18)	
	β	95 % CI	β	95 % CI	β	95 % CI	β	95 % CI	β	95 % CI
Healthy food availability Low Medium High	Ref. 0·45 −0·53	-0·75, 1·66 -1·55, 0·48	Ref. 3∙90 3∙22	1·81, 5·98 1·28, 5·17	Ref. −0·16 −1·38	−1·85, 1·53 −3·16, 0·40	Ref. −0·03 0·73	-2·06, 2·01 -1·06, 2·52	Ref. 0·36 −1·22	−1·24, 1·95 −2·21, 0·23

Ref., reference category.

\*Adjusted for individual-level age, gender, race, poverty status, education and self-reported health (n 2541).

In another cross-sectional study, lower healthy food availability, measured by the NEMS-S, was significantly associated with poorer dietary patterns (fat and processed meats pattern) in urban and suburban Baltimore<sup>(19)</sup>. The association became insignificant when adjusted for race; higher neighbourhood healthy food availability was not significantly associated with better dietary patterns (whole grains and fruit pattern). The authors noted that healthy food availability might be a proxy for neighbourhood racial composition, given the strong correlation that was documented between the two factors<sup>(20)</sup>. Thus, the association between healthy food availability and diet quality would be masked after controlling for race. With the exception of individuals in predominantly white HANDLS neighbourhoods, unadjusted results were insignificant for BMI. This suggests that neighbourhood healthy food availability, as assessed in the current study, may not be an accurate measure to capture food consumption patterns in this population. Information on the use of restaurants and the location where participants most frequently shop for food may begin to clarify the influence the neighbourhood food environment has on health.

There may be several explanations for the lack of significant results among individuals living in predominantly black or low-SES neighbourhoods. Recent literature has documented important implications and considerations for measuring food availability in minority and lowincome neighbourhoods<sup>(31,32)</sup>. Social constructs likely play an important role for understanding neighbourhood disorder and safety concerns that may impede the use of local food stores, regardless of availability<sup>(32)</sup>. Thus, the availability of healthy foods would have little impact on health outcomes in low-income, minority neighbourhoods. In predominantly black and low-SES HANDLS neighbourhoods, individuals residing in neighbourhoods with medium or high healthy food availability more often reported seeing serious crime as a common occurrence compared with their counterparts residing in neighbourhoods with low healthy food availability (P < 0.001, data not shown). Second, immigrant groups, particularly Asian Americans in Baltimore City, have operated businesses in low-income, black neighbourhoods for a number of vears<sup>(31,32)</sup>. There may be language and cultural barriers and feelings of discrimination by local food store owners that reduce the use of these neighbourhood establishments. Third, consumer interests need consideration when assessing the effects of neighbourhood food availability. Although foods of cultural preference would be expected to be available in a neighbourhood, these foods may be inadequately captured on standard surveys (e.g. NEM-S). In addition, low-income consumers may not be able to afford healthier fare such as fresh produce and whole grains<sup>(33)</sup>. Thus, if the measures of food availability do not capture food relevant for the population, the power to detect neighbourhood effects is reduced. Finally, consumers residing in low-income, minority neighbourhoods may often have concerns that food quality, fresh or otherwise, is poor and choose to purchase foods outside their neighbourhood<sup>(31)</sup>.

The present study has several strengths. First, BMI was objectively measured; this method, rather than self-report, is preferred for large epidemiological studies. Second, a systematic assessment of food stores was conducted in Baltimore City. Since national business data may inaccurately classify food stores<sup>(28)</sup>, this method was a significant improvement from previous studies. Finally, the stratified sampling design allowed for associations to be compared by neighbourhood characteristics.

Nevertheless, the study has some limitations. First, the study was cross-sectional, which limited the ability to make causal statements about observed associations. Second, census tract boundaries were used to approximate neighbourhoods, which created the potential for measurement error when determining neighbourhood food availability. If measurement error were present, it would be expected to be non-differential; thus, results would be biased towards the null. Third, no information was available on where participants shopped. It was assumed that the neighbourhood environment was most influential on food procurement behaviours. Fourth, food store data were collected in 2006-2007 while individual baseline data were collected from 2004 to 2008. The current analysis assumes that neighbourhood characteristics and individual behaviours and health outcomes were relatively stable during this time period. The time point in the study represents the mid-point of the baseline data collection years, which minimizes the magnitude of this potential bias. Fifth, healthy food availability scores were imputed based on a previous study implemented in Baltimore. Given that the characterization of food stores was completed using the same procedures and in the same geographic location as the current study, it is assumed that these imputed values are solid estimates of the true HFAI. Furthermore, a prior study suggests that healthy food availability may be a proxy for neighbourhood racial composition<sup>(20)</sup>; stratification by neighbourhood characteristics was a strategy used to circumvent this issue and attempt to observe the independent effect of healthy food availability.

Neighbourhood healthy food availability is only one part of the built environment that may facilitate or provide the opportunity for individuals to make healthier choices and ultimately reduce BMI. Taken together with previous work, it is likely that the influence of the food environment operates differently across neighbourhoods of varying characteristics. The mechanisms for these associations deserve future investigation since neighbourhood food availability may partially account for racial and SES disparities in obesity and dietary intake. Larger studies with more variability in neighbourhood characteristics and food availability will help to clarify these relationships in the future. In addition, food pricing, location of employment and transportation patterns should be considered as influential factors for obesity and dietary intake. The potentially large public health impact that could be gained from further investigation warrants continued exploration.

## Acknowledgements

The current research was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Aging. Data on healthy food availability were supported by the Center for a Livable Future at the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health. T.L.G.-W. was funded by a grant from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (K01-HL084700). The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose. S.S.C. conceived the study design, performed data analyses and led the writing. T.L.G.-W. contributed to the study origination, supervised the study and assisted with the writing. J.G., A.B.Z. and M.K.E. contributed to the study origination and supervised the study. M.F. contributed the healthy food availability data. M.F.K. assisted with the dietary intake data. All authors helped to conceptualize ideas, interpret findings and review drafts of the manuscript.

### References

- 1. Mokdad AH, Bowman BA, Ford ES *et al.* (2001) The continuing epidemics of obesity and diabetes in the United States. *JAMA* **286**, 1195–1200.
- 2. Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Walker-Thurmond K *et al.* (2003) Overweight, obesity, and mortality from cancer in a prospectively studied cohort of US adults. *N Engl J Med* **348**, 1625–1638.

- Kenchaiah S, Evans JC, Levy D *et al.* (2002) Obesity and the risk of heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 347, 305–313.
- Yanovski S (2000) Overweight, obesity and health risk: National Task Force on the Prevention and Treatment of Obesity. Arch Intern Med 160, 898–904.
- Diez-Roux AV, Nieto FJ, Caulfield L *et al.* (1999) Neighbourhood differences in diet: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 53, 55–63.
- 6. Ellaway A & Macintyre S (1996) Does where you live predict health related behaviours? A case study in Glasgow. *Health Bull (Edinb)* **54**, 443–446.
- Dubowitz T, Heron M, Bird CE *et al.* (2008) Neighborhood socioeconomic status and fruit and vegetable intake among whites, blacks, and Mexican Americans in the United States. *Am J Clin Nutr* 87, 1883–1891.
- 8. Block D & Kouba J (2006) A comparison of the availability and affordability of a market basket in two communities in the Chicago area. *Public Health Nutr* **9**, 837–845.
- 9. Moore LV & Diez Roux AV (2006) Associations of neighborhood characteristics with the location and type of food stores. *Am J Public Health* **96**, 325–331.
- 10. Morland K, Wing S, Diez RA *et al.* (2002) Neighborhood characteristics associated with the location of food stores and food service places. *Am J Prev Med* **22**, 23–29.
- 11. Zenk SN, Schulz AJ, Hollis-Neely T *et al.* (2005) Fruit and vegetable intake in African Americans income and store characteristics. *Am J Prev Med* **29**, 1–9.
- 12. Powell LM, Slater S, Mirtcheva D *et al.* (2007) Food store availability and neighborhood characteristics in the United States. *Prev Med* **44**, 189–195.
- Green C, Hoppa RD, Young TK *et al.* (2003) Geographic analysis of diabetes prevalence in an urban area. *Soc Sci Med* 57, 551–560.
- 14. Li F, Harmer PA, Cardinal BJ *et al.* (2008) Built environment, adiposity, and physical activity in adults aged 50–75. *Am J Prev Med* **35**, 38–46.
- Morland KB & Evenson KR (2009) Obesity prevalence and the local food environment. *Health Place* 15, 491–495.
- Larson NI, Story MT & Nelson MC (2008) Neighborhood environments: disparities in access to healthy foods in the US. Am J Prev Med 36, 74–81.
- 17. Morland K, Diez Roux AV & Wing S (2006) Supermarkets, other food stores, and obesity: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. *Am J Prev Med* **30**, 333–339.
- Cheadle A, Psaty BM, Curry S *et al.* (1991) Community-level comparisons between the grocery store environment and individual dietary practices. *Prev Med* 20, 250–261.
- Franco M, Diez-Roux AV, Nettleton JA *et al.* (2009) Availability of healthy foods and dietary patterns: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 89, 897–904.
- Franco M, Diez Roux AV, Glass TA *et al.* (2008) Neighborhood characteristics and availability of healthy foods in Baltimore. *Am J Prev Med* **35**, 561–567.
- National Institute on Aging (2004) Healthy Aging in Neighborhoods of Diversity Across the Life Span. http:// handls.nih.gov/ (accessed July 2006).
- Franco M, Nandi A, Glass T *et al.* (2007) Smoke before food: a tale of Baltimore City. *Am J Public Health* 97, 1178.
- Jenkinson C, Layte R, Jenkinson D *et al.* (1997) A shorter form health survey: can the SF-12 replicate results from the SF-36 in longitudinal studies? *J Public Health Med* 19, 179–186.
- Blanton CA, Moshfegh AJ, Baer DJ *et al.* (2006) The USDA Automated Multiple-Pass Method accurately estimates group total energy and nutrient intake. *J Nutr* 136, 2594–2599.

Food availability and association with BMI

- Guenther PM, Reedy J & Krebs-Smith SM (2008) Development of the Healthy Eating Index-2005. J Am Diet Assoc 108, 1896–1901.
- Guenther PM, Reedy J, Krebs-Smith SM et al. (2007) Development and Evaluation of the Healthy Eating Index-2005. Technical Report. Washington, DC: US Department of Agriculture, Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion.
- NAICS Association (2007) NAICS Information: the history of NAICS and more. http://www.naics.com/naics44-45.htm (accessed January 2007).
- Cates S, Karns A, Carter-Young H et al. (2000) Survey of Manufacturing Practices in the Dietary Supplement Industry. Research Triangle Park, NC: Research Triangle Institute, Center for Economics Research.
- 29. Guenther PM, Juan WY, Lino M et al. (2008) Diet Quality of Low-Income and Higher Income Americans in 2003–2004

*as Measured by the Healthy Eating Index-2005.* Alexandria, VA: US Department of Agriculture, Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion.

- Morland K, Wing S & Diez RA (2002) The contextual effect of the local food environment on residents' diets: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study. *Am J Public Health* **92**, 1761–1767.
- Gittelsohn J & Sharma S (2009) Physical, consumer, and social aspects of measuring the food environment among diverse low-income populations. *Am J Prev Med* 36, 4 Suppl., S161–S165.
- Odoms-Young AM, Zenk S & Mason M (2009) Measuring food availability and access in African-American communities: implications for intervention and policy. *Am J Prev Med* 36, 4 Suppl., S145–S150.
- Darmon N & Drewnowski A (2008) Does social class predict diet quality? Am J Clin Nutr 87, 1107–1117.